

USSSABALO.ORG

Call Sign: *Clever Boy*

USS Sabalo Association Autumn 2015

Sabalo's 2016 REUNION:

The United States Submarine Veterans, Inc. (USSVI) National Convention will be in Reno, Nevada: August 14 to 20, 2016. Sabalo Membership consensus is that we hold our reunion in tandem. Rooms will be \$79 or \$99. For more info read *Tomato Basket & Thru the TBT*; a mini Regis. Form is on page 11; for updated details, go the website at ussviconvention.org/2016/ [For preparation arrangements, please keep Ron/Jeff aware of your plans]

HELP! Each issue of *Clever Boy* costs us about \$2 to send via US Mail, for which our shipmates listed on page 2 regularly donate money to make sure it gets to over 100 Sabalo Vets who don't have computers. These, our brothers, see it is a duty, and are honored to contribute, but ... **if you throw it away** with your junk mail, or **if you just don't care to read it** ... please call or send us a *No Thank-you* note so that we can save a little time/money. All known WWII Vets receive USPO copies. There are currently 9 men on our "No Thanks" Roster, for various/unknown reasons [eg.:RonG doesn't need one. Ed]



Postmaster: Please return undeliverable mail to:

**Ron Gorence
2563 Roseview Place
San Diego, Ca 92105-4734**

To:



Last edit:

To our 58 Publication Donors — Thank You!

Your exemplification of the spirit of our Brotherhood means 105 Sabalo vets without Internet access can receive CB! — RonG
 Dozens of other contributors make the USS Sabalo Crew Ass'n successful. You know who you are; thank you too— Jeff O.

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Almeida, Fred | Ferguson, Chas | Kelman, Bobby (2) | Mast, Curt | Peters, Vic | Savela, John |
| Baker, John (2) | Giancola, Steve | Kreager, Ed | McCoy, Frank (3) | Phelps, Bob | Schnieder, M. |
| Bartsch, Bob | Grantham, F. (3) | Kreuzer, James | McCune, JD | Pierce, Steve | Scott, RD |
| Baumruk, Brian(2) | Grubbs, C (2) | Kurowski, Marvin | McPolin, Paul | Potts, James | Sullivan, L (2) |
| Bergwerk, Joe | Hall, Ernie | Leach, Thomas | Needham, Bruce | Reilly, Dave | Thompson, D (3) |
| Breckenridge, Bill | Heck, Ben | LeConte, John | Nelson, Don (2) | Reyes, A | Towery, J |
| Chase, Alden | Huckfeldt, L (3) | Longenecker, J (2) | Oles M. | Roberts, Joe | Tucker, C |
| Clark, Lee | Hudaon, G. | Losby, H.(X2) | Ouellette, W (3) | Sanborn, "H" | Venezia, H |
| Dunnagan, J (2) | Humes, Irv | Macaraeg, Lino | Padgett, Red | Sanderlin, KW | Villarreal, N |
| | Kaefer, W. | Madsen, James | Parks Will (2) | Sausman, George | Willhelm, Tom |



From the Tomato Basket:

The USSVI convention site for 2016 is Reno. Sabalo enthusiasm to join them again — as in 2003— is strong. As Ron mentions in his TBT, we have been involved in the conversion and transfer of our Sabalo database crew history information into DeckLog.com. This web site has become the central focus to preserve as much submarine sailor data as can be found and entered, mostly by a few volunteers within USSVI. It will preserve for posterity and future researchers all that has been collected by various sub historians into one central reference resource.

Toward that end, USSVI has recently committed to some major funding to improve the site function and revise the protected access for more security to personal data of living persons listed. Once the password scheme has been revised it will be announced on how all the data can be viewed, and how you can add to or complete your own listing. Currently, you can still search for old shipmates and any ship (including skimmers) that you served aboard. You may note that much Sabalo data is still missing, but it will eventually be the most complete Sabalo record anyplace.

As cold weather closes in here in Penna., and indoor pursuits take over, moving all Sabalo data into DeckLog will intensify, and Ron and I will commence planning for a Reno reunion during, before, or after USSVI: Aug 14 - Aug 20. (Good timing: does not interfere w/Colo. elk season.) Standby for more info in the next issue of CB and details on website usssabalo.org

COMMUNICATE EARLY TO FACILITATE OUR PLANNING. Reserving space and banquet accommodations requires lengthy lead times and we want to have the best situation for all to have a great time. Firm commitment is not required at this time, but if you think you might come please take a minute and respond now, and also let us know that, and your suggestions for activities.

Binnacle List: Shipmate Bill Towery (aboard Oct66-Jun69) reports that his wife has been a long time sufferer with Multiple Sclerosis and is preparing for some very expensive treatments, each costing about \$20k. Her condition is described on this web site where you can also consider helping with a donation: www.gofundme.com/ms-stem-cell-hope

V/R **Jeff Owens ETN2(SS)**



USS Sabalo Association Staff

Webmaster, Historian, Reunion Coordinator & Association Founder:

Jeff Owens
 273 Pratt Hollow Rd
 Nicholson, PA 18446
 (570) 942-4622
owensj@epix.net

Editor:

Ron Gorence
 2563 Roseview Place
 San Diego, CA 92105
 (619) 264-3327
mgorence@yahoo.com

Q. If it took eight men ten hours to paint topside, how long would it take four men to paint it?
A. No time at all, topside is already painted.

Bill to Replace DD-214 With Veterans ID Card passes Senate Without Opposition

By Kevin Derby June 23, 2015, *Sunshine State News*

... ensures every veteran receives ID card [w/small fee] from VA ... House passed unanimously... instead of just those who served 20 years in the armed forces or are seeking medical treatment for service-related wounds.

"A simple, standardized ID card will make life easier for our veterans and serve as a reminder that our brave service men and women deserve all the respect a grateful nation can offer ... budget neutral ... veterans ... would have a small fee ...

Senate... offered amendment ... ensuring all veterans would be eligible... sending the bill back to the House ... sail through and be signed into law by President ...

"Veterans who have honorably served their country deserve to have a simple, straightforward way to prove their veteran status," Hardie said. "Veterans for Common Sense supports sensible legislation like Rep. Buchanan's [R-Fla] Veterans ID Card Act." *See more at: sunshinestateneews.com*



Thru the TBT: • **Housekeeping:** DeckLog: 1,440.
Clever Boy Master Roster: 1,411.
(Mostly duplicates or misspelling of names).

• **The Future:** I've long been aware that someday me, or my son, my grand-daughter, my great nephew, my church, or my humble newsletter editor will want my personal history for a high-school biography assignment, for a military organization — or just for an obituary. So, when I ordered my blue Submariner Vest a few years back I took the time to dig through my DD-214's for the dates I was aboard each of my five boats. I'd probably undertaken that task once a year since I retired from the Navy, but this time I didn't do it to fill out a form, but to permanently embroider the data on the back of my vest so I would never have to repeat the research. Now I even carry a picture of my vest in my wallet, and, aside from tattooing data on the back of my hand, this was the best way I'd ever come up with to avoid digging up the data over, and over—and over. Until now.

Already, much of your contact information and submarine history—either correct or correctable—is in one place: DeckLog! Never having to research ourselves again can free up a lot of increasingly-valuable spare time for ogling, looking for our keys (or maybe for our car). For most of us—who have belonged to USSVI—much of the basic data been collected, and your contact data is up to date if you're now receiving *Clever Boy*. DeckLog will soon be the master location for all your data —no more searching file cabinets for DD 214's! You can update once a year, or when you move, or change eMails or phone numbers—in one easily-accessible place. If you're a jock instead of a nerd, you'll need to get your daughter, or grandson — maybe that 70-year-old computer-chick you picked up in the bar— to log-on when necessary, and keep your data current.

And ... unfortunately, aside from address verification for *Clever Boy* over the years, I've done little to help Jeff fill some serious gaps in our non-contact data. For instance, 36% of members are missing Hometown info, 55% have no Qual Date, and 12% are missing Date Of Birth — several of our WWII heroes have died without due recognition (on my watch) just because I didn't know their DOB (or age as a clue) until their obituaries hit us in the face. Not Good!

So, with no organized reunion this year, let's see if we can spend some time to offer you guys enough instruction to start maintaining your own data on DeckLog. You all can play around a bit while we're getting our ducks in a row—and let us know how it goes: **To explore USSVI's data:**

Type *ussvi.org* into your address bar, hit *enter*, *return*. The USSVI Home page (with USSVI's logo) will appear. Options are listed on the left side of the page; click on one and follow the directions. You can browse through boats, shipmates, submarine history and almost anything else related to the American Submarine Service. To make changes to your file you might need to be a member of USSVI, so **To log on to USSVI:** Click on *Member Login* to get to the *Members Only* login screen. Enter your user name and password, (or follow directions for members who have never logged on before), or there is help for joining USSVI in order to gain access to the databases.

To explore DeckLog:Go to: <http://www.decklog.com/ss-302.asp> and explore the possibilities there too. Keep Jeff/Ron posted on both your progress and your problems.

• **2016 Reunion:** The USSVI 2016 National Convention at the Grand Sierra Resort and Casino in Reno will be the site of Sabalo's next reunion. As impossible as it may seem, this could be as memorable as Las Vegas in 2013! GSR room \$79 per night, Summit Room Rate \$99 per night (+tax/fees). USSVI's Plan Of the Day runs 7 days from Sunday August 14 through the National Awards Banquet on Sat. night, Aug 20. POD & details at ussviconvention.org/2016/ and on page 11. **Alternative Sabalo 5-day plan to consider:**

- **Tuesday** (8/16): Motorcycle Group ride at 0900, 1530 International Submariners Assn. meeting—or arrive in time for USSVI's Welcome Aboard Party (18-2000). (Nothing exciting to miss Sun & Mon)
- **Wednesday:** Holland Club Breakfast, South Lake Tahoe Tour and Hospitality Room all day/night.
- **Thursday:** Lake Tahoe Luncheon Cruise, or Men's/Ladies' Luncheon, plus Sabalo's Banquet (at USSVI's rates, or with alternative restaurant reservations).
- **Friday:** Virginia City tour, Base Meetings, Submarine Authors, and Memorial Service.
- **Saturday:** USSVI Annual Business Meeting, Submarine Film Festival, and Awards Banquet. Go home Sunday.

Details next issue re options to USSVI Membership (attending as a guest); pros/cons of a Sabalo Banquet as either alternate or additional to USSVI's Awards event; your opinions /suggestions in future issues, along with out progress in firming up plans and commitments based on membership participation and feedback & DeckLog info.

V/R **RonG**

During the war they gave us so much Salt Peter that we started letters to our wives saying, "Dear Friend."

Jeff's **USSVI Award Banquet** thoughts: ... This is best if Sabalo can't muster up minimum participation of our own; but ... **Private Banquet** — more enjoyable: Less noise; our own speaker (or none); better cash bar/service; more leeway on menu selection; different camaraderie among Sabalo sailors.

California's way of helping a vet out regarding the Federal "Bill to replace DD-214 ..." see page 2

Calif. Is offering Veteran designation on Driver License:
"Step 1 - Obtain Veteran Status Verification Form from County Veteran Service Office (CVSO), BEFORE visiting DMV. Step 2 – Present completed/stamped VSVF & Driv-

er's license application to the DMV rep. Step 3 - Pay your \$5 designation fee, and related application fees (??). **Fine Print:** go to the DMV webpage for an explanation of the [additional] process for obtaining a "Veteran" designation.

Poor old Al Gore! He's just trying to make a decent living with his Church of Settled Science — and this kind of stuff keeps popping up.

Is A mini ICE AGE on the way? Scientists Warn the Sun Will 'Go To Sleep' in 2030 and Could Cause Temperatures to Plummet By Mark Prigg For Dailymail.com Published: 10 July 2015 NASA/Goddard

A new study that claims to have cracked [the prediction of] solar cycles says that between 2030 and 2040 solar cycles will cancel each other out [which] could lead to a 'Maunder minimum' effect that saw London's River Thames freeze over.

The Earth could be headed for a 'mini ice age' researchers have warned. A new study claims to have cracked predicting solar cycles - and says that between 2020 and 2030 solar cycles will cancel each other out. This, they say, will lead to a phenomenon known as the 'Maunder minimum' - which has previously been known as a mini ice age when it hit between 1646 and 1715. The Maunder Minimum (also known as the prolonged sunspot minimum) is the name used for the period starting in about 1645 and continuing to about 1715 when sunspots became exceedingly rare, as noted by solar observers of the time. This period of solar inactivity also corresponds to a climatic period called the 'Little Ice Age' when rivers that are normally ice-free froze and snow fields remained year-round at lower altitudes. There is evidence that the Sun has had similar periods of inactivity in the more distant past, NASA says.

The connection between solar activity and terrestrial climate is an area of on-going research. Some scientists hypothesize that the dense wood used in Stradivarius instruments was caused by slow tree growth during the cooler period. Instrument maker Antonio Stradivari was born a year before the start of the Maunder Minimum

Astronomers have been counting sunspots for centuries, and they have seen that the solar cycle is not perfectly regular. The new model of the Sun's solar cycle is producing unprecedentedly accurate predictions of irregularities within the Sun's 11-year heartbeat. Predictions from the model suggest that solar activity will fall by 60 per cent during the 2030's to conditions last seen during the 'mini ice age' that began in 1645, according to the results presented by Prof. Valentina Zharkova at the National Astronomy Meeting in Llandudno. The model predicts that the pair of waves become increasingly offset during Cycle 25, which peaks in 2022.

During Cycle 26, which covers the decade from 2030-2040, the two waves will become exactly out of synch and this will cause a significant reduction in solar activity. 'In cycle 26, the two waves exactly mirror each other – peaking at the same time but in opposite hemispheres of the Sun,' said Zharkova. 'Their interaction will be disruptive, or they will nearly cancel each other. "We predict that this will lead to the properties of a 'Maunder minimum'.

How can a submarine watchstander go eight days without sleeping? Sleep at night.

Where Have All The Fighter Pilots Gone? author(s) unknown

... sad commentary on what political correctness has done.
☀ Beginning with McNamara the powers that be decided to run the US Air Force like an 9 to 5 business, warrior leaders of General LeMay's stature were no longer to be found. The fundamental job of the military, "kill people and break their things", became seriously hampered by "rules of engagement" whose guiding logic is political, not successful combat. I agree with the author. If and when the US military is defeated, it will be running the best Day Care centers in the world. We used to go to the Officers Club or NCO Club Stag Bar on Friday afternoons to drink, smoke and swap lies with our comrades. (Think about this when you read the rest of the letter below. What happened to our Air Force/Marines/Army/Navy..... (or Military)? Drinking then became frowned on. Smoking caused cancer and could "harm you.) Stag bars became seen as 'sexist'. Gradually, our men quit patronizing their clubs because what happened in the club became fodder for a performance report. It was the same thing at the Airman's Club and the NCO and/or Top 3 clubs. Now we don't have separate clubs for the ranks. Instead we have something called "All Ranks Clubs" or "Community Clubs."

They're open to men and women of all ranks....from airman basic to general officer. Still, no one is there. Gee, I wonder why. The latest brilliant thought out of Washington is that the operators ("pilots?") flying remote aircraft in combat areas

from their plush desk at duty stations in Nevada or Arizona should draw the same combat pay as those real world pilots actually on board a plane in a hostile environment.

More politically correct logic? They say that remote vehicle operators are subject to the same stress levels as the combat pilot actually flying in combat.

REALLY...you're bull-shi**ing me!!!!?? Now that I've primed you a little, read on. Here is a rant from a retired fighter pilot that is worth reading:

"It is rumored that our current Secretary of Defense recently asked the question, "Where are all the dynamic leaders of the past?" I can only assume, if that is true, that he was referring to Robin Olds, Jimmy Doolittle, Patton, Ike, Boyington, Nimitz, etc.?"

Well, I've got the answer: They were fired before they made Major!

Our nation doesn't want those kinds of leaders anymore. Squadron commanders don't run squadrons and wing commanders don't run wings. They are managed by higher ranking dildos with other esoteric goals in mind Can you imagine someone today looking for a LEADER to execute that Doolittle Raid and suggesting that it be given to a daredevil boozier -- his only attributes: he had the respect of his men, an awesome ability to fly, and the organizational skills to put it all together?

If someone told me there was a chance in hell of selecting that man today, I would tell them they were either a liar or dumber than shi*. I find it ironic that the Air Force put Brigadier General Robin Olds on the cover of the company rag last

month. While it made me extremely proud to see his face, he wouldn't make it across any base in America (or overseas) without ten enlisted folks telling him to zip up his flight suit, get rid of the cigarette, and shave his mustache off.

I have a feeling that his response would be predictable and for that crime he would probably get a trip home and an Article 15. We have lost the war on rugged individualism and that, unfortunately, is what fighter pilots want to follow; not because they have to but because they respect leaders of that ilk. We've all run across that leader that made us proud to follow him because you wanted to be like him and make a difference. The individual who you would drag your testicles through glass for, rather than disappoint him.

We better wake the hell up! We're asking our young men and women to go to really shi*y places; some with unbearable climates, never have a drink, have little or no contact with the opposite sex, not look at magazines of a suggestive nature of any type, and adhere to ridiculous regs that require you to tuck your shirt into your PT uniform on the way to the porta-shit*er at night, in a blinding dust storm, because it's a uniform. These people we're sending to combat are some of the brightest I've met but they are looking for a little sanity, which they will only find on the outside if we don't get a friggin' clue. You can't continue asking people to live for months or years at a time acting like nuns and priests. Hell, even they get to have a beer.

Who are we afraid of offending? The guys that already hate us enough to strap C-4 to their own bodies and walk into a

crowd of us? Think about it.

I'm extremely proud of our young men and women who continue to serve. I'm also very in tune with what they are considering for the future and I've got news for whoever sits in the White House, Congress, and our so-called military leaders. Much talent has and will continue to hemorrhage from our services, because wanna-be warriors are tired of fighting on two fronts — one with our enemies, another against our lack of common sense.

Comments:

☀ *I think we have plenty of Fighter Pilots and heroes at the Lt., Cpt. and Major level who have been great leaders in the recent wartime environments. The problem is that these young warriors are astute and smart enough that they can see what is happening to the military leadership over the last six years and they are vacating the services as soon as they are eligible. Just look at the fifty or so General Officers that the Obama Administration has relieved. What a future for the brightest and best to look forward to. Only the politically inclined are staying and moving on.*

☀ *I can remember when I was assigned to SAC headquarters, a bunch of us were sitting in the officers club during happy hour, and our boss, a 0-6, full colonel looked over and saw a few young lieutenants making complete asses of themselves, and he said to all sitting there, "when we quit seeing the young in our clubs like those youngsters, the Air Force will no longer be what it is today". I guess that time is coming. Thanks to our political leaders..*

I have said that the Declaration of Independence is the ring-bolt to the chain of our nation's destiny; so, indeed, I regard it. The principles contained in that instrument are saving principles. Stand by those principles, be true to them on all occasions, in all places, against all foes, and at whatever cost. **Frederick Douglass**

☺ **Inner Peace** ☺ I'm passing this on because it works for me at publishing time. A noted behavioral scientist, who studied submariners, once said that in order to have inner peace in our lives, we

should always finish things that we start. Since we all could use more calm in our lives, I looked around my house to find things that I had started and had not finished:
I finished a six-pack of Primo, a bottle of

Chadonnay, a bodle of Bailey's, a butle of wum, tha mainder of my frozing hangbuggers, an a box a choclutz. Yu has no idr how fablus I fall rite now. Sned this to all ur frenz who need inner piss. An telum u luvum.

Pentagon to Lift Ban on Transgender Military Service *The Daily Signal, Chelsea Scism / July 13, 2015*

Pentagon ... preparing to lift the ban on transgender individuals serving in the United States Military ... one of the last gender- or sexuality-based barriers to military service.

A transgender person is one who identifies with a different gender than the one he or she was born with, who sometimes take hormones or undergo surgeries to take on the physical characteristics of the gender with which they better identify. [Ed.: ...and one whom his/her shipmates *better* learn to accommodate] ... military branches will have six months to work out details [?] ... evaluate legal, medical, and administrative implications [?] ... military pay for medical costs & surgeries associated with gender transition[?].. different ... standards[?] ... different stages of transition[?] ... Where ... housed? What uniforms & bathrooms?[] ...

Defense Secretary Ash Carter is interested in the practical effects of lifting the ban, including cost and what implications this may have on U.S. military readiness, if any... During the six-month waiting period before the lifted ban will go into effect, transgender individuals will still not be allowed to join the military [*no slippery slope?*]... goal was to avoid forcing any transgender service members to leave service during interim.

... lifting ... ban ... four years after ... ban in 2011 on gay individuals serving openly

"We believe in getting to a place where no one serves in silence [*except, of course, those who are straight*], and where we treat all our soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines with the [*current, politically-correct*] dignity and respect that they deserve," Carter said in June at a ceremony during Pentagon Pride Month. [*So much for Submariners' Pride Runs Deep*]

["Fundamentally Change the country." DONE!]



MAIL BAG Thanks Ron... and I have same set of genes re arterial stoppages and things like that... I've got stents and angioplasties and roto-router work reopening previously worked on legs and kidneys. I'm pushing 85 so there's hope for you yet. Vinnie (Howard Venezia)

▪ about my husband Chuck [Charles Bradford West, Jr] ... received a "less than honorable" discharge... interested ... prior to that very unfortunate circumstance. When and where did he serve?... graduated from Recruit Tr Cmd, Great Falls, IL 1961... going through some of his things... picture it says, "C. B. West, Jr., MMA. ? ... appreciate any info .. about his service in the Navy... very proud of his service & was so sorry he "messed up" at the end of his enlistment (1963?). He did not talk about it too much as it was followed by the most painful time of his life. Thank you so much for your help.[Owens response: "... reported 1/6/64 Sabalo made SOG3, and highest was SOG2(SS)." Jeff gave her info on acquiring a DD 214]. Mary West, (661) 900-9516. bellizia@aol.com

Due to current economic conditions the light at the end of the tunnel has been turned off.

Senior Chief Starts 2nd Career – In Silent Service Mark D. Faram, Navy Times, June 27, 2015

Cassie Clark is realizing a dream she first had 18 years ago – the chance to qualify in submarines— as a senior chief [with] another six to go before mandatory retirement. "I always liked the professionalism I saw in the submarine force... closed to me – but ... someday ... now it's here."

She's got two warfare pins to her credit, wearing both the Enlisted Surface Warfare and the Enlisted Aviation Warfare specialist—"I know there's challenges ahead, but I'm up for it," Clark, 41, said of the rigorous qualification process to earn her submarine dolphins.

[The] senior chief personnel specialist ... convert to the submarine yeoman rating ... on the guided-missile submarine Michigan in fiscal year 2016.

...no stranger to sea duty... destroyer Paul F. Foster ... aircraft carrier Kitty Hawk in Japan and ... cruiser San Jacinto ... but also that she's entering a whole new world and is anxious to get started.

"This is a tremendous leadership opportunity, but I've got a lot of work ahead ... get this right and have a successful integration of women into submarines."

Putting Women in Combat Is an Even Worse Idea Than You'd Think by Mike Fredenburg July 15, 2015

No bastard ever won a war by dying for his country. He won it by making the other poor dumb bastard die for his country. — General George S. Patton

What may be Old Blood and Guts' most famous line is more than just colorful, it's a great working definition of a warrior's duty: killing the enemy and surviving to fight another day.

That truth is particularly relevant in light of the recent failure of all 45 hand-picked, highly fit women to complete Ranger training and Marine-officer combat training. The 45 women were part of an effort to meet a 2016 deadline mandating that all combat roles, including special forces, be opened up to women — an ideologically driven, reality-challenged initiative.

Putting women into close combat roles isn't fair to the men who will be relying on them, and isn't fair to the women who will find themselves continuously at a deadly disadvantage. When we send our soldiers into combat we should be giving them the best possible chance of succeeding and surviving. While women are equal to or better than men at many tasks, they simply aren't when it comes to combat. Substituting men with far less combat-capable women is profoundly unfair, immoral, and utterly unnecessary. A recent study, for instance, by Britain's Tri-Service Review found that mixed-gender combat units have "lower survivability," a "reduced lethality rate" and reduced deployability. This study, along with countless others done over the last 40 years, demonstrate that combat capabilities are so heavily weighted toward men that the gap cannot be closed. As Marine Corps captain Lauren Serrano put it in a September 2014 article in the Marine Gazette: "Acknowledging that women are different (not just physically) than men is a hard truth that plays an enormous role in this discussion."

Before looking at the facts surrounding the hard truth re-

ferred to by Captain Serrano, consider the three major arguments for putting women into combat. The first and most commonly used argument goes something like this: "Not sure if it's a good idea, but if women can meet the same standards as men, then I guess it would only be fair to allow them into combat."

But the last 40 years of aggressive integration efforts by the U.S. military have shown that women cannot meet the same rigorous standards as men — and the answer has been to implement different standards for women, while lowering the standards for men, too. A 2011 study on physical requirements necessary for specific occupations in the military conducted by Dr. William Gregor for the U.S. Army's School of Advanced Military Studies concludes:

The Services, especially the Army, have expanded the military occupational specialties (MOS) open to women purely as a part of the social concern for equality and have only paid lip service to combat readiness. . . . The Army's own research indicates that the vast majority of women do not possess the lean mass necessary to meet the strength requirements for very heavy and heavy physical MOS's.

The Army assigns women to these specialties anyway. What loads do women have trouble bearing? "Women soldiers are challenged by some field combat duties — carrying five-gallon cans of fuel and water, changing armor vehicle track and heavy truck tires, carrying 100-plus-pound loads of ammunition and fighting gear on extended dismounted operations, carrying stretchers of wounded soldiers, and the brute labor required to dig in fighting positions," retired general Barry McCaffrey explains. (Another detailed, generously footnoted 2007 study published in the Duke Law Journal, "Constructing the COED Military," by Elaine Donnelly, gives an over-

view of our military's misguided gender-integration efforts and details how double standards, quotas, and preferential treatment are damaging our military.)

For proof that integrating women into combat will mean lower standards for men and women, just ask the current chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, General Martin Dempsey, who said in 2013, "If we do decide that a particular standard is so high that a woman couldn't make it, the burden is now on the service to come back and explain to the secretary: Why is it that high? Does it really have to be that high?" Given the current political environment and the lack of moral courage from our political and military leaders, there's no doubt these standards will be reevaluated and less-rigorous ones adopted. This problem is exacerbated by the fact that the military's physical and psychological toughness standards for men have also declined. The military as whole — even in basic training — has become kinder and gentler, partly in order to accommodate women. In one of the more ridiculous examples of this, Army drill instructors were required to wear "empathy bellies" and fake breasts to better empathize with pregnant women. Today's drill instructors are nicer than they used to be, but the speed at which we are discarding time-proven methods for training soldiers is breathtaking and reckless.

Another common argument is that women are already dying in combat zones, so it's only fair to formalize what they are doing. But this debate is not about the supreme sacrifice that 144 servicewomen have made in combat zones since 9/11, but how effective women can be in doing a different job — projecting combat power, killing the enemy, and surviving to fight another day. The women who have died in service to their country need to be honored, but they should not be honored by increasing the chances that other servicemen and women will die as well. As former Marine Jude Eden writes in the April 2015 edition of *Military Review*, "Being in the combat zone, dangerous as it is, is still worlds away from the door-kicking offensive missions of our combat units." Being killed in a crash or by an IED is not the same as surviving physically demanding combat patrols carrying combat loads of 60 to 140 pounds, which challenge even men's superior endurance and strength. A willingness to die for one's country is a noble and a necessary condition for effective combat soldiers, but it is far from sufficient.

The third argument: In other cultures and times, women have performed as well in combat as men. In particular, modern-day Israel is often cited as an example of women successfully fulfilling combat roles. But Israel's military position is almost nothing like that of the United States: It's surrounded by hostile nations that collectively outnumber its population by over 20 to 1. Even so, for many of the reasons discussed, women no longer participate in front-line IDF combat units. While it is true that countries such as Israel and Russia in the past, and the Kurdish military today, have been forced by dire circumstances to rely on women to literally defend their own persons, their children, and their house against savage invaders, thankfully we are in no such position.

So why do men and women perform so differently in combat-related tasks? First, physiologically and psychologically, women and men are significantly different. Men are not sim-

ply bigger women with different plumbing. Men's blood carries 10 to 12 percent more oxygen per liter than does a women's; and men's VO₂ max, a measure of the top rate of oxygen consumption, is 40 to 60 percent greater than that of women. An average fit man will weigh about 23 percent more, have 50 percent more muscle mass, and carry 10 percent less body fat than an average fit woman. Pound for pound, men have thicker skulls, bigger, stronger necks, hearts that are 17 percent larger, and bones that are both bigger and denser. Despite being much heavier, men's vertical leap is nearly 50 percent greater than that of women.

In terms of reflexes and reaction times, men significantly outperform women. When confronted with immediate danger, studies suggest men are "more likely than women to take action." Women are far more likely to experience motion sickness and vertigo. In the Navy women go on sick call 60 to 70 percent more frequently. For the kind of violent events and situations found on the battlefield, women are far more likely to develop post-traumatic stress disorder and experience the symptoms for a longer duration than men. Despite the gender-specific ability to handle the pain of childbirth, "study after study" conclusively shows that men have a much higher overall tolerance for pain than women.

Individually, any one of above differences could make the difference between life and death. In the combat environment, the differences between men and women in speed, strength, endurance, agility, physical resiliency, and psychological resiliency represents an unbridgeable gap — and the impact on the battlefield is dramatic.

Mixed-gender units will be both slower in getting to the fight and slower when beating a tactical retreat. They are more likely to be crippled by physical injuries or PTSD. Men will put themselves in harm's way to assist women in getting over obstacles that men can easily negotiate unassisted. Blows to the head or other concussive events that a man can shrug off will stun or render a woman unconscious, reducing her unit's chances of survival, especially in hand-to-hand combat. Units will have to deal with feminine-hygiene issues that significantly reduce unit effectiveness.

This is not merely theory: One Army study focusing on Operation Iraqi Freedom found women are almost twice as likely to suffer from non-combat related disease and injuries and are twice as likely to be medevac'd out of the theater of operations. Historical non-deployment rates for women are three to four times that of men. Women suffer many times the rate of stress fractures and ACL injuries. All of this hurts combat readiness and increases costs. That we will still be able to defeat vastly inferior opponents is beside the point — more of our soldiers will die and our combat units will be less capable.

The military has been trying to devise programs that will close these gaps for decades, and hasn't succeeded. A Royal Society of Medicine study on the British military found that that injuries skyrocketed for women "when they undertake the same arduous training as male recruits." The end result was that women were eight times more likely to be discharged with back pain, tendon injuries, and stress fractures than their male counterparts. Indeed, many studies show that rigorous training only widens the gap between men and women.

This is all before we get to unit cohesion. If you have been around more than a couple of decades, you know that men and women working together in tight quarters will develop infatuations and relationships that will affect unit discipline and morale. Even mature, highly disciplined men and women are susceptible. The U.S. military is already awash in discipline and morale problems coming out of female–male interactions. Captain Serrano notes that “platoon commanders in co-ed units already deal with a tremendous amount of drama, pregnancies, and sex in the co-ed unit barracks.” The unavoidable disruption means units that are less lethal and less survivable.

The push to put women into combat is driven by an extreme, reality-challenged form of feminism. Unfortunately, its influence in the media, the entertainment industry, our universities, and politics has given it a tremendous base of political power that extends into the heart of the military. Jude Eden notes: “In my experience, feminism and political correctness are so prevalent in the military that men trip over themselves trying to ensure they do not offend. Military leaders cannot afford to even think the truth: Women are not as strong and athletic as strong, athletic men are.” Officers in the military understand that speaking honestly about the problems of women in combat can be a career-ender, while putting gender-diversity goals

ahead of everything else can be a career-accelerator.

What we have is a modern-day case of the emperor’s new clothes. But over the decades, so many compromises to the truth have been made and careers advanced by hiding or glossing over gender-integration issues in the military that it will take more than one small voice standing athwart the road yelling “stop!” It will take concerted opposition from a range of politicians who have the courage to look beyond the latest headline in the New York Times or on CNN.

“That our Congress is accepting this change without any debate isn’t progress,” Washington Post columnist Kathleen Parker has written. “It is a dereliction of duty and, one is tempted to say, suggestive of cowardice.” The silence on this issue by the Republican-controlled Congress is deafening.

Mountains of evidence exists, providing an excellent basis for congressional hearings about this misguided crusade. Undoubtedly, these hearings will inflame the media and academia, but not holding these hearings, not speaking out on this issue, puts politics before the lives of our young women and men.

— Mike Fredenburg: contributor to *National Review*, *California Political Review*, *San Diego Union Tribune*, and founding president of the *Adam Smith Institute of SD*, a conservative think tank and PAC.



The Military Betrays Women by Elaine Donnelly September 18, 2013

Even if there were a “war on women,” the answer would not be to send unwilling women to war. During a recent trip to Camp Lejeune, N.C., Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel met with 15 junior officers at the Marine Infantry School. He asked them about President Obama’s plans to assign women to Army and Marine infantry battalions, Special Operations Forces, and other “tip of the spear” fighting teams that conduct combat assaults.

According to the New York Times, Hagel got an earful. Staff sergeants worried that if women were assigned to combat, the high standards of the Marine Corps would have to be lowered, “family lives would suffer,” and rates of sexual assault and harassment would increase. When Secretary Hagel asked whether it was right to deny the opportunity to a woman who wants to join, a fearless captain replied, “I haven’t met a female Marine who is standing up and shouting, ‘I want to be infantry.’”

This must have been a shock, since the 15 Marines speaking to Hagel were not men; they were women. Similar opposition was registered when Military Times recently conducted an online survey asking active-duty female readers whether they would take a land-combat position if it were offered. Only 13 percent of the military women said yes, 9 percent weren’t sure, and a whopping 77 percent said no, they would not take a combat job.

Secretary Hagel and the Obama administration nevertheless are pushing forward with incremental plans to revoke all of women’s combat exemptions by January 2016. Once women are eligible for direct ground combat, federal courts are likely to force women into Selective Service registration and a possible future draft.

The House and Senate have gone AWOL on oversight, asking

few questions about the consequences of this misguided, radical social experiment, which accelerated when former Joint Chiefs chairman Admiral Mike Mullen called for “diversity as a strategic imperative.”

In 2011 the largely civilian Military Leadership Diversity Commission recommended that women be “included” in currently all-male land-combat units, in order to achieve “diversity metrics,” another name for “quotas.” The concept departs from the military’s honorable tradition of recognizing individual merit — the key to its successful racial integration long before the civilian world.

None of this is necessary. For decades, uniformed women have been promoted as fast or faster than men have. But feminists want a female chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. General Martin Dempsey should give his seat to a qualified female general. That way, women who serve in the enlisted ranks — the majority of uniformed women — won’t be forced into combat.

The nation is proud of our military women, who have served with skill and courage “in harm’s way” before and after 9/11. Still, no empirical studies show that women can be physical equals of men in combat. Thirty years of tests, studies, and reports comparing male and female physiology have confirmed profound differences that are not going to change.

The Marines have invited 100 female officers to train on the rigorous Infantry Officer Course at Quantico, Va. To date, six have volunteered, and only one lasted more than a day. Next will come tests of enlisted women on a less-demanding infantry course. Contrary to assumptions that standards will remain the same, footnotes in a twelve-page report that the Marines released in June indicate that “gender-neutral standards” will actually be “gender-normed . . . to account for physiological

differences between genders.”

Gender-specific allowances to reduce injuries and improve fitness are acceptable in basic and entry-level exercises. They are not acceptable in training for infantry combat, where lives and missions depend on individual strength, endurance, team cohesion, and trust for survival.

Defense Department leaders say that training programs deemed “invalid” will be eliminated, modified, or scored differently. The goal is not better training; it is “gender diversity.” President Obama will choose new military leaders who will advance the women-in-combat agenda by adjusting tough infantry-training standards. Elite forces will make them “equal” for men and women by lowering them for everyone, or accepting a few token women along with men who otherwise would have washed out.

The argument that combat assignments will reduce sexual assaults is something of a cruel joke. Twenty-two years after the Navy’s Tailhook scandal, women are much closer to the fight, but rates of sexual assault are soaring. Confirmed incidents have increased by 129 percent since 2004, from 1,275 to 2,949. Feminists are making an ironic argument: Violence against women is wrong, they suggest, unless it happens at the hands of the enemy.

There is no major military force, either friend or potential foe, that is even considering what the American military is preparing to do. Placing women on battlefield front lines makes no

more sense than “gender diversity” on the gridirons of the National Football League.

This is not about being “allowed” into combat. At a recent hearing, in answer to a question from Representative Loretta Sanchez of California, Marine lieutenant general Robert Milstead observed that you do what you are told to do once you join the military. “That’s why they’re called orders,” he said.

James Robert Webb, a former Marine infantry sergeant, believes combat for women would be unfair. “Plain and simple, if you admit women into the infantry, you must ask them to be men.” Tell us again why this is something good for women.

In June the House missed the opportunity to vote for a reality-based proposal to establish “sound policy for women in the military.” Abundant facts and persuasive arguments were disregarded, as political “fem fear” and perceived self-interest prevailed. Even if there were a “war on women,” the answer would not be to send unwilling women to war.

To show true respect for women, Congress must intervene before incremental plans become irreversible. Members should assert their constitutional authority and work to establish sound policy for women — and men — in the only military we have.

— Elaine Donnelly is president of the Center for Military Readiness & former member of the Presidential Commission on the Assignment of Women in the Armed Forces.



“I am so looking forward to not dying.” Matt Damon in *Martian*

Golly! What’ll they think of next?

Replacement Subs to Shift to Electric Drive(!) by Kris Osborn, September 27, 2013 DEFENSETECH.ORG

... successors to Ohio-class submarines will feature an electric propulsion system, making them quieter and stealthier than today’s versions. [Wow! Revolutionary!]

The technology ... being developed by the Navy and General Dynamics Corp. ... [c]onstruction ... to begin in 2021... existing ... mechanical propulsion technology ... replacement ... still relies on a nuclear reactor to generate heat and create steam to power turbines... [h]owever, the electricity produced is transferred to an electric motor rather than so-called reduction gears to spin the boat’s propellers.... [Hot Dang! Cutting edge!]

“We just take the electricity from those high-speed turbines and use that electricity to drive an electric motor that propels the ship,” RADM Breckenridge said. “It is quieter than a mechanical drive system.” [Criminy! Futuristic foresight!]

Oops!!!
As we slowly move through retirement, we need to keep ourselves occupied with small projects.

Like this guy!

BUT, know ... I saw it right away too!



... No safety glasses or hearing protection. And I caught something else that is really important: He has no gloves on. I might be up there in age but I am still sharp as a tack!

Tubes asked **Wingnut**, "Do you remember that stuff they used to put in our coffee during the war, to make us forget about women?"

Wingnut replied, "I think you mean 'salt peter.'"

Tubes: "Yep, that's the stuff. I think it's beginning to work!"

Did You Know?
A nickel placed on a tombstone means that you and the deceased soldier trained at boot camp together. If you served with the soldier, you leave a dime. A quarter is very significant because it means that you were there when that soldier died.

... a submariner may be lying to you if he began with, *Once upon a time*, but I've prefaced my testimony with *This is no sh!t!* indicating a true story, fastidiously tuned to its most veritable version."



Note the sub-vests

Once a Good Will Ambassador ...
always a Good Will Ambassador!



With new paint and grease, Navy Tries to Lengthen a Submarine's Life

Michael Melia, AP.8/4/15 GROTON, Conn

As it tries to get the most out of each of its \$2.6 billion attack submarines, the U.S. Navy is finding a lot depends on the right paint job. A new painting process that helps keep marine life from fouling the hulls is among dozens of innovations aimed at reducing the maintenance needs for attack submarines, which are coming out of service faster than they can be replaced.

"They're not very glamorous but they're huge in terms of payback to the fleet," said Navy Capt. Mike Stevens, a manager for the Virginia-class submarine program at Naval Sea Systems Command.

The changes were developed by private and government shipyards in response to a request from the Navy, which wants to squeeze more service life out of each vessel. In addition to the paint, updates include water-resistant grease for hatches, a special coating on the metal rods that extend the bow planes to minimize deposits, and redesigned water-lubricated bearings to improve support of the propeller shaft.

The goal is for the submarines eventually to go eight years between lengthy and expensive major overhaul periods, up from six years currently.

The USS Virginia was commissioned in 2004, and 12 others in its class are already in service. The country is now building two a year to replace the aging Los Angeles-class attack subs. The nuclear-powered Virginia-class subs, which typically deploy for six months at a time, are capable of conducting sur-

veillance in shallow waters and firing missiles among other missions and are expected to have service lives of about 33 years.

While the submarine force says the demand on its 53 attack subs already exceeds their availability, the number in the fleet is projected to continue a post-Cold War decline and bottom out with 41 in 2029 before it begins rising again due to ramped-up construction, according to the Navy's shipbuilding plan.

The design changes are intended to reduce costs and increase the number of combat deployments. "We want them to spend as much time at sea as possible," Stevens said. In Quonset Point, Rhode Island, where the submarines are painted, the Navy has invested in facilities to apply anti-fouling paint more rigorously during construction. During overhauls, the Navy also has adopted powder-coat, high-gloss paint applications that hold up better under water and require less maintenance, Stevens said.

At Electric Boat, the Groton-based submarine builder, workers tasked with finding ways to reduce maintenance needs came back with 800 ideas. Those were whittled down to 128 ideas that were recommended to the Navy, said Ken Blomstedt, the company's Virginia-class program manager.

Nearly all of those changes have been incorporated into the design of submarines that are now in the beginning stages of construction, Blomstedt said. One challenge, he said, was ensuring that the submarine's sophisticated capabilities were not compromised. "That tenet of maintaining combat capability was first and foremost," he said.

Five Minutes in California can be a long, long time! By Kathryn Schulz, *The New Yorker*

... Seismologists know that how long an earthquake lasts is a decent proxy for its magnitude. The 1989 earthquake in Loma Prieta, California, which killed sixty-three people and caused six billion dollars' worth of damage, lasted about fifteen seconds and had a magnitude of 6.9. A thirty-second earthquake generally has a magnitude in the mid-sevens.

A minute-long quake is in the high sevens, a two-minute quake has entered the eights, and a three-minute quake is in the high eights. By four minutes, an earthquake has hit magnitude 9.0 ... Tohoku earthquake (2011, 9.0) and subsequent tsunami killed more than 18,000 people, devastated northeast Japan, triggered the meltdown at the Fukushima power plant, and cost an estimated 220 billion dollars [It seems I'll eventually have beach-front property here in East San Diego (East of I-5). Me and my buddy Al Gore know how to profit from Nature's Roulette. Ed]

Ship Over for Olongapo? *America Sends Advanced Submarine to Strategic Philippine Base* August 4, 2015

One of America's most advanced submarines began a port call in the Philippines on Monday... Los Angeles-class fast-attack submarine USS Chicago (SSN 721) made a port call in Subic Bay ... home to one of America's largest oversea military bases before it was shut down by the Philippines in 1992.

Chicago ... first fast-attack sub to be built with a vertical launch system ... is more than 360 feet long and weighs some 7,000 tons when submerged ... capable of intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, anti-submarine warfare, anti-surface ship warfare, and strike [missions]. ... crew of 170 ... Chicago is forward deployed in Guam, ... made an earlier port call in Changi, Singapore in March... making a port call to Subic Bay, Philippines is notable. After the Philippines kicked out the Americans in 1992, Manila converted the facility into a trading zone and industrial hub ... only last month [PI] announced it was re-opening the former naval base for military usage... location is very strategic... the West Philippine Sea ... deepwater port ... Philippine military to deploy aircraft and naval vessels ... **Last year, the US and the PI signed a new military agreement ...**

however, has been held up by [and] currently being heard by the [PI] Supreme Court. ... port call ... coincides with a major regional security conference ... ASEAN Regional Forum in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. U.S. Sec. John Kerry... Tuesday to Thursday ... participate ... Following the ... Forum, Kerry will travel to Vietnam... Chicago's port call ... comes amid growing fears that China will convert the Scarborough Shoal into a military base. PI officials ... fear China will reclaim the partially submerged shoal in the near future, and turn it into an artificial island ... then militarize. China seized the S. Shoal from the PI in 2012 ... located just 120 miles west of Subic Bay. Zachary Keck, managing editor of *The National Interest*.



USSVI 2016 NATIONAL CONVENTION

August 15th through the 20th

Grand Sierra Resort and Casino (1-800-501-2651)

2500 East 2nd Street, Reno NV 89502



2016 Convention Registration Form

Name (To be used on badge): _____

Base: _____ Base Officer: Y/N Position? _____

Spouse/Guest: _____ Email: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip Code: _____ Phone: _____

Emergency Contact: _____ Phone: _____

Qual. Boat: _____ Hull No.: _____ Year: _____

NOTE: A Registration fee of \$30.00 is required for each person attending the 2016 Convention.

NOTE: In the event that you cannot attend you must contact our Registration Committee Chair in writing prior to July 14th 2016 and request a full refund.

NOTE: Attendance at any USSVI sponsored activity requires paid 2016 Convention Registration.

| Date | Event | Cost | Qty | Total |
|-------------|---|---------|-----|-------|
| | Registration Fee <small>(Note see above)</small> | \$30.00 | | |
| Aug 16 | Welcome Aboard Party | \$25.00 | | |
| Aug 17 | WWII & Holland Club Breakfast | \$30.00 | | |
| Aug 18 | Ladies Luncheon | \$35.00 | | |
| Aug 18 | Men's Luncheon | \$35.00 | | |
| Aug 20 | Awards Banquet/Entertainment | | | |
| | Grilled Salmon & Grilled Petite Filet Mignon | \$60.00 | | |
| | Vegetarian (Chef's Choice) | \$60.00 | | |
| Aug 20 | Cash Drawing Tickets: <small>(at Awards Banquet)</small> | | | |
| | 1 Ticket | \$5.00 | | |
| | 5 Tickets | \$20.00 | | |
| Grand Total | | | | |

To fill out and/or print a copy of this Registration Form, type the following into the address bar of your browser: ussviconvention.org/2016

Make Check or Money Order Payable to: 2016 USSVI National Convention

Mail Registration Form and Check or Money Order to: 2016 USSVI Convention
P.O. Box 13325
Reno, NV 89507

Note:  **USSVI Membership is technically required to register or attend most USSVI events, however, your participation helps lower the rates for all, so nobody is likely to turn you down if you submit this form and/or attend various paid — or even free — events as a non-member walk-in.**

On the other hand, Annual Membership is only \$20 (\$25 next year) — so even if, discounting all others, you believe that DeckLog is the dominant objective for the Submarine Service's legacy, it is still a great cause.



- 10/4/2015- Hood, Frank R. FTC(SS) Aboard 1961-2
- 9/9/2015- Boyd, Arlan E CS3(SS) Aboard 1955-8

Sabalo Eternal Patrol Roster (Corbly - Guy) 106 of 536

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Corbly, Rober, 2009 | Doucette, Alex , 2013 | Fernald, Rober, 2001 | Gartley, James, 2009 |
| Cottrell, Willi, 1988 | Douglas, Allen, ?? | Fields, Donal, 2012 | Gascon, Pedro, ?? |
| Coughtry, Josep, 1965 | Downing, Willi, 2005 | Finlan, Harol, 1976 | Gates, Earl , 2006 |
| Cox, Forre, 1995 | Dunbar, Arsco, 2001 | Fisher, Thoma, 1988 | Gerfin, Melvi, 2006 |
| Crain, Willi, 2009 | Duster, Elvin, 1961 | Fitch, Eugen, 1985 | Getzwiller, Gordo, 1905 |
| Cramer, Paul , ?? | Dutka, Nicho, 2003 | Flanagan, Berna, 2014 | Giancola, John , 2011 |
| Credo, Carlo, 2007 | Dutton, Donal, 2005 | Fleischer, Gerha, 1992 | Gibbs, Barne, 2002 |
| Crist, Danie, 2003 | Dwyer, Chest, ?? | Fleming, David, 2009 | Giefer, Louis, 1905 |
| Cruz, Jose , ?? | Dziuzynski, Henry, ?? | Flesvig, Donal, 2008 | Giles, Willi, 2001 |
| Cunningham, Raymo, ?? | Eastman, John , 1996 | Floyd, Glend, 1987 | Giovannucci, Rober, 2015 |
| Curtis, Delbe, ?? | Ebert, Willi, 1970 | Foiles, James, 1994 | Glans, Dale , 2000 |
| Danielson, DT, 1985 | Echiverri, Roy V, 1990 | Follo, David, 2012 | Glenn, Samue, 1977 |
| Danyla, Ernes, 1965 | Eikrem, Lawre, 2001 | Forman, Irvin, 2013 | Godsell, Charl, 1996 |
| Davis, Elmer, 1986 | Eiman, Rober, ?? | Forsman, Ronal, ?? | Goen, Louis, 2006 |
| Delapaz, Ricar, 2005 | Elfvig, Danie, ?? | Forsman, Wayne, ?? | Goldsmith, James, 1996 |
| Dellacalce, Nicho, 2006 | Emerson, Boyd, 1991 | Foster, Willi, 2008 | Gomil, James, 2005 |
| DeNeen, Harry, 1996 | Englen, Donal, 2000 | Fowler, Benja, 2007 | Gorman, Micha, 1985 |
| Denham, Willi, 2013 | Ensley, Cliff, 2010 | Fox, Eliot, 2010 | Gove, Denni, 2014 |
| DePeyster, Rober, 2010 | Eppley, Eugen, 2006 | Fox, Taylo, 1997 | Greenawalt, Rober, 2006 |
| DeSitter, Richa, 2014 | Etlinger, Richa, 2006 | Frattura, Antho, 1997 | Gregory, Dale , 1900 |
| Dewitt, Willi, 1972 | Eugene, Harry, 1992 | Frazier, Kenda, 2004 | Gregory, Walte, ?? |
| Dibsie, Antho, 1985 | Evans, Ernes, 1999 | Frederick, Harol, 1997 | Griffin, Warre, 1991 |
| Dilley, Carl , 1963 | Everly, Verno, 2011 | Freitag, Leste, ?? | Gulatt, John , 2012 |
| Diple, Denni, 2002 | Fackler, Richa, 1998 | Furonak, John,, 2007 | Guthe, Dougl, 1970 |
| Dispennette, Edwin, 2008 | Falk, Peter, 2005 | Galland, James, 1984 | Guy, Clyde, ?? |
| Dittmer, John , 1989 | Farmer, Glenn, 1983 | Garcia, Ambro, 2004 | |
| Doerr, James, 2015 | Fedon, Georg, 1994 | Gard, Arthu, 1990 | |

Respectful/difficult NOTE TO SABALO WIVES AND WIDOWS:

We are, and will forever be, honored by your attendance and participation in SABALO events and functions. However, continuing to send *Clever Boy* to widows can not only bring back pleasant memories of better times, but for some, it re-triggers pain. If you can find a moment, please send Ron or Jeff a note stating your preference.

Sabalo Association Membership Data: Our Association charges no dues for membership, for *Clever Boy*, or for other expenses. The *Thank You* on page 2 is to recognize Sabalo shipmates who regularly contribute the cost of mailing *Clever Boy* to our WWII shipmates and to our brothers without email access. For years, many loyal shipmates have also sent donations to our Association’s founder, Jeff Owens, for support of our website and other expenses — Jeff adamantly rejects any form of payment for membership, which is defined as anyone who ever served on Sabalo.

The purpose of this form is to collect your initial data, and to update any address changes which can cost us hours of work whenever we have to re-handle bounced correspondence. **Please** help us keep the following records current:

Name: _____ Spouse/next of kin: _____
 Address: _____ City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____ - _____
 Home Phone: _____ Cell Phone: _____ E-Mail Address: _____
 Years on Sabalo (Month, if known): _____ to _____ Low/Highest Rank/Rate aboard: _____
 Qual Boat # / QYear: _____ USSVI Base: _____ Retired (Y/N, Yr): _____
 Date Of Birth: _____ Home Town: _____

Footnotes:

- Bravo Zulu:** =“Well Done!” 
- NTINS:** “Now This Is No Sh*t,” as opposed to Fairy Tales which begin with, “Once upon a time....” Often shortened to: *(T.I.N.S.)*
- TBT** - Target Bearing Tracker (on the Bridge)
- UQC:** An underwater telephone (AKA Gertrude). 302’s voice call sign was *Clever Boy* her radio/visual call was NXYO = 
- Continued:  The End: 